An alternative theory of electroweak interaction without using any $SU(2)$ doublet.

Anirban Karan*
The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, HBNI, Taramani, Chennai 600113, India
(Dated: January 5, 2017)

In standard model electroweak interaction is described by $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge symmetry of Lagrangian. In this letter, we have derived an alternative way that can describe electroweak interactions (as in standard model) without using any $SU(2)$ doublet or $SU(2)$ generator. In addition to that, this theory can incorporate some new physics aspects which cannot be answered in the framework of standard model. In this method, we only need local gauge invariance of Lagrangian under a generalised $U(1)_Q$ gauge transformation, where Q is electric charge, and then everything follows through.

arXiv:1701.00779v1 [hep-ph] 3 Jan 2017

Electroweak interaction is one of widely studied topics in high energy physics, both theoretically and experimentally. In theory, weak interactions are described using non abelian gauge theory[1] whereas electromagnetic interactions are explained by abelian $U(1)_Q$ gauge theory. Standard model (SM) gives good theoretical explanation of electroweak interactions taking $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge symmetry of Lagrangian[2–8]. Our approach differs from the existing theory largely, but it can produce same theoretical results as SM; in addition, it can also incorporate different new physics aspects like flavour changing neutral current (FCNC) at tree level, non-unitary CKM matrix, new electroweak gauge bosons, fourth generation quarks or leptons etc. which cannot be addressed in the framework of SM. In this approach, we take all charged fields including charged bosons to be transformed as $H \to He^{iq_h\theta}$ and all neutral gauge bosons to be transformed as $V_\mu \to V_\mu + \Lambda\partial_\mu\theta$ under a generalised $U(1)_Q$ gauge transformation (Q denotes charge, θ_h is the charge of particle H and Λ is some constant) and claim that demanding local gauge invariance of Lagrangian under this gauge transformation will lead to electroweak interactions. In this context, our technique can be thought as an extension of QED (Quantum Electrodynamics). We emphasise that any kind of $SU(2)$ doublets or $SU(2)$ generators are not used at all in our method.

Let us first assume that there exist two complex gauge boson fields (A^μ_+ and A^μ_-) which are charge conjugate to each other. Then we can write them as complex linear combinations of two real gauge boson fields A^μ_1 and A^μ_2 in the following manner

$$A^\mu_+ = \frac{A^\mu_1 - iA^\mu_2}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad A^\mu_- = \frac{A^\mu_1 + iA^\mu_2}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{1}$$

The free field Lagrangian for these gauge bosons without any kind of interaction looks like

$$\mathcal{L}_{gauge} = -\frac{1}{4}(F_{1\mu\nu}F_1^{\mu\nu} + F_{2\mu\nu}F_2^{\mu\nu}) = -\frac{1}{2}(F_+)_{\mu\nu}F_-^{\mu\nu} \tag{2}$$

where $F^{\mu\nu}_j = \partial^\mu A^\nu_j - \partial^\nu A^\mu_j$ with $j = +, -, 1, 2$.

Here we see that $\mathcal{L}_{gauge}$ is invariant under global gauge transformation$A^\mu_\pm \to A^\mu_\pm e^{\pm iq\theta}$ where θ is a space-time independent real quantity and $\pm q$ is the charge of $A^\mu_\pm$. Now we demand this Lagrangian to be invariant under Local gauge transformation

$$A^\mu_\pm \to A^\mu_\pm e^{\pm iq\theta(x)} \tag{3}$$

Under this transformation

$$\partial^\mu A^\nu_\pm \to (\partial^\mu A^\nu_\pm)e^{\pm iq\theta} \pm iq(\partial^\mu\theta)A^\nu_\pm e^{\pm iq\theta}, \tag{4}$$

$$F^{\mu\nu}_\pm \to F^{\mu\nu}_\pm e^{\pm iq\theta} \pm iqe^{\pm iq\theta}[(\partial^\mu\theta)A^\nu_\pm - (\partial^\nu\theta)A^\mu_\pm], \tag{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(F_+)_{\mu\nu}F_-^{\mu\nu} \to\ & (F_+)_{\mu\nu}F_-^{\mu\nu} \\ & + 2q^2[A_{+\mu}A^\mu_-\partial_\nu\theta\partial^\nu\theta - A_{+\mu}A^\nu_-\partial_\nu\theta\partial^\mu\theta] \\ & + 2iq[\partial_\mu\theta\{(\partial^\mu A^\nu_-)A_{+\nu} - (\partial^\mu A^\nu_+)A_{-\nu}\}] \\ & - 2iq[\partial_\nu\theta\{(\partial^\mu A^\nu_-)A_{+\mu} - (\partial^\mu A^\nu_+)A_{-\mu}\}]\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

We see from Eq. (6) that lots of extra terms will appear in the transformed free Lagrangian. As $\partial^\mu\theta$ is a vector quantity, we need at least one more real gauge boson field A^μ_3 which will transform as

$$A^\mu_3 \to A^\mu_3 + \lambda\partial^\mu\theta \quad (\lambda \text{ is a constant}) \tag{7}$$

in order to cancel those extra terms in Lagrangian. As the extra terms in Eq. (6) contain products of A^μ_+, A^μ_- and $\partial^\mu\theta$, we can expect that $A^\mu_\pm$ and A^μ_3 will interact with each other. So, we need to redefine our Lagrangian accordingly. Observing Eq. (6) we can infer that it must be in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{gauge} = \mathcal{L}_{inv} & - \frac{1}{2}(F_+)_{\mu\nu}F_-^{\mu\nu} + \beta_1(A_+\cdot A_-)A_3^2 \\ & + \beta_2(A_+\cdot A_3)(A_-\cdot A_3) + \rho_{mn}A_{3\mu}(\partial^\mu A^\nu_m)A_{n\nu} \\ & + \eta_{mn}A_{3\nu}(\partial^\mu A^\nu_m)A_{n\mu} \qquad (\text{with } m, n = +, -)\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\rho_{mn}, \beta_{1,2}$ and η_{mn} are some arbitrary constants with the constrains $\rho_{mn} = -\rho_{nm}, \beta_1 = -\beta_2$ and $\eta_{mn} = -\eta_{nm}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{inv}$ denotes summation of all those terms which are invariant under local gauge symmetry.

Now we want to retain the Lagrangian in the same form as in Eq. (2) and write it as

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{gauge} = -\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_j(\mathcal{F}_j)_{\mu\nu} \tag{9}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu\nu}$ are some operators, equivalent to $F_j^{\mu\nu}$. In order to do that we define $\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu\nu}$ as

$$\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu\nu} = F_j^{\mu\nu} + \alpha_{jkl} A_k^\mu A_l^\nu \quad \text{for} \quad (j,k,l) = (1,2,3) \quad \text{and} \quad j \neq k \neq l \tag{10}$$

This particular form of $\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu\nu}$ is chosen keeping in mind the structure of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{gauge}$, given by Eq. (8). As there is no higher dimensional operator in Eq. (8), so we keep the terms, only quadratic in A_j^μ, in definition of $\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu\nu}$. Again, if we rewrite Eq. (8) in terms of A_1^μ,A_2^μ and A_3^μ, we shall find that there is no term in $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{gauge}$ like $A_k^\nu(A_j^\mu \partial_\nu A_{j\mu})$ or A_j^4 etc ($j = 1,2,3$). Theses terms are not gauge invariant also. So we take the condition $j \neq k \neq l$ while defining $\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu\nu}$. One can of course redo the analysis keeping all terms in $\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu\nu}$. But this only makes the calculation tedious and finally shows that the coefficients of all those terms must vanish in order to respect the local gauge invariance and symmetry between A_1^μ, A_2^μ and A_3^μ.

Now, let us find $(\mathcal{F}_j)_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu\nu}$ using the definition of $\mathcal{F}_j$, given by Eq. (10).

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{F}_j)_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu\nu} &= (F_j)_{\mu\nu}F_j^{\mu\nu} + 2\alpha_{jkl}(F_j)_{\mu\nu}A_k^\mu A_l^\nu \\ &+ \alpha_{jkl}\alpha_{jmn}A_k^\mu A_l^\nu (A_m)_\mu (A_n)_\nu \\ &= \mathcal{T}_1 + \mathcal{T}_2 + \mathcal{T}_3\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Here, $(\mathcal{F}_j)_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu\nu}$ is broken in three parts $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$ and $\mathcal{T}_3$ to make the calculation easier. $\mathcal{T}_1$, $\mathcal{T}_2$ and $\mathcal{T}_3$ denote quadratic, cubic and quartic interactions among the gauge bosons respectively.

At first we have evaluated the expressions of $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$ and $\mathcal{T}_3$ in terms of A_1^μ, A_2^μ and A_3^μ and then using the inverse transformation of Eq. (1) we rewrite them as

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = (F_j)_{\mu\nu}F_j^{\mu\nu} = 2(F_+)_{\mu\nu}F_-^{\mu\nu} + (F_3)_{\mu\nu}F_3^{\mu\nu} \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_2 &= 2\alpha_{jkl}(F_j)_{\mu\nu}A_k^\mu A_l^\nu \\ &= i[(\alpha_{213}+\alpha_{123}) - (\alpha_{132}+\alpha_{231})][(\partial_\mu A_+^\nu)A_+^\mu \\ &- (\partial_\mu A_-^\nu)A_-^\mu - \frac{1}{2}\partial^\nu(A_{+\mu}A_+^\mu - A_{-\mu}A_-^\mu)]A_{3\nu} \\ &+ i[(\alpha_{213}-\alpha_{123}) + (\alpha_{132}-\alpha_{231})][A_{3\mu}\{(\partial^\mu A_-^\nu)A_{+\nu} \\ &- (\partial^\mu A_+^\nu)A_{-\nu}\} - A_{3\nu}\{(\partial_\mu A_-^\nu)A_+^\mu - (\partial_\mu A_+^\nu)A_-^\mu\}] \\ &+ iF_{3\mu\nu}[(\alpha_{312}+\alpha_{321})(A_+^\mu A_+^\nu - A_-^\mu A_-^\nu) \\ &+ (\alpha_{312}-\alpha_{321})(A_-^\mu A_+^\nu - A_+^\mu A_-^\nu)]\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_3 &= \alpha_{jkl}\alpha_{jmn}A_k^\mu A_l^\nu (A_m)_\mu (A_n)_\nu \\ &= \frac{A_3^2}{2}[(\alpha_{213}^2 + \alpha_{231}^2 - \alpha_{123}^2 - \alpha_{132}^2)(A_+^2 + A_-^2) \\ &+ 2(\alpha_{213}^2 + \alpha_{231}^2 + \alpha_{123}^2 + \alpha_{132}^2)(A_+ \cdot A_-)] \\ &+ (\alpha_{213}\alpha_{231} - \alpha_{123}\alpha_{132})[(A_+ \cdot A_3)^2 + (A_- \cdot A_3)^2] \\ &+ 2(\alpha_{213}\alpha_{231} + \alpha_{123}\alpha_{132})[(A_+ \cdot A_3)(A_- \cdot A_3) \\ &- \frac{1}{4}(\alpha_{312}+\alpha_{321})^2(A_+^2 - A_-^2)^2\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

As μ, ν are dummy variables, they have been interchanged in some terms while calculating $\mathcal{T}_2$ to get the above form.

In order to respect the global gauge symmetry, every term in Lagrangian should be in product form of A_+ and A_-. But there are some terms in $\mathcal{T}_2$ and $\mathcal{T}_3$ which contains only A_+ or only A_- (such as $A_+^\mu A_+^\nu$ or $A_-^\nu A_{-\nu}$ or $(\partial_\mu A_+^\nu)A_+^\mu$ etc.). These terms spoil the gauge invariance of Lagrangian. To maintain the global gauge symmetry, the coefficients of these terms must go to zero .This demand gives us the following conditions

$$\alpha_{123} + \alpha_{213} = \alpha_{132} + \alpha_{231} \tag{15}$$

$$\alpha_{213}^2 + \alpha_{231}^2 = \alpha_{123}^2 + \alpha_{132}^2 \tag{16}$$

$$\alpha_{213}\alpha_{231} = \alpha_{123}\alpha_{132} \tag{17}$$

$$\alpha_{312} + \alpha_{321} = 0 \implies \alpha_{321} = -\alpha_{312} = g_c(\text{say}) \tag{18}$$

Combining the conditions in Eq. (15), Eq. (16) one can get two sets of solutions and each set satisfies Eq. (17).

$$\left.\begin{aligned}\text{Solution I:} \quad \alpha_{213} &= -\alpha_{123} = g_b \quad (\text{say}) \\ \text{and} \quad \alpha_{132} &= -\alpha_{231} = g_a \quad (\text{say})\end{aligned}\right\} \tag{19}$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned}\text{Solution II:} \quad \alpha_{213} &= \alpha_{132} \\ \text{and} \quad \alpha_{123} &= \alpha_{231}\end{aligned}\right\} \tag{20}$$

Though the two sets of solutions look different, eventually both of them produce same results. So, let us take the first one, Eq. (19) and proceed.

Due to the relations among α_{jkl}, given by Eq. (18) and Eq. (19), the expressions of $\mathcal{T}_2$ and $\mathcal{T}_3$ in Eq. (13) and Eq. (14) will now look like

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_2 &= -2ig_cF_{3\mu\nu}(A_-^\mu A_+^\nu - A_+^\mu A_-^\nu) \\ &- 2i(g_a+g_b)[A_{3\nu}\{(\partial_\mu A_-^\nu)A_+^\mu - (\partial_\mu A_+^\nu)A_-^\mu\}] \\ &+ 2i(g_a+g_b)[A_{3\mu}\{(\partial^\mu A_-^\nu)A_{+\nu} - (\partial^\mu A_+^\nu)A_{-\nu}\}]\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_3 &= -4g_ag_b(A_+ \cdot A_3)(A_- \cdot A_3) \\ &+ 2(g_a^2+g_b^2)A_3^2(A_+ \cdot A_-)\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Now let us find how $\mathcal{T}_1$, $\mathcal{T}_2$ and $\mathcal{T}_3$ transform under the local gauge transformation, given by Eq. (3) and Eq. (7).

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_1 \to \mathcal{T}_1 &+ 4q^2[A_{+\mu}A_-^\mu\partial_\nu\theta\partial^\nu\theta - A_{+\mu}A_-^\nu\partial_\nu\theta\partial^\mu\theta] \\ &+ 4iq[\partial_\mu\theta\{(\partial^\mu A_-^\nu)A_{+\nu} - (\partial^\mu A_+^\nu)A_{-\nu}\}] \\ &- 4iq[\partial_\nu\theta\{(\partial^\mu A_-^\nu)A_{+\mu} - (\partial^\mu A_+^\nu)A_{-\mu}\}]\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_2 \to \mathcal{T}_2 &+ 2i\lambda(g_a+g_b)[\partial_\mu\theta\{(\partial^\mu A_-^\nu)A_{+\nu} - (\partial^\mu A_+^\nu)A_{-\nu}\}] \\ &- 2i\lambda(g_a+g_b)[\partial_\nu\theta\{(\partial^\mu A_-^\nu)A_{+\mu} - (\partial^\mu A_+^\nu)A_{-\mu}\}] \\ &+ 4q(g_a+g_b)A_+^\nu A_{-\nu}[A_3^\mu\partial_\mu\theta + \lambda\partial^\mu\theta\partial_\mu\theta] \\ &- 2q(g_a+g_b)[\partial_\mu\theta A_+^\mu A_-^\nu A_{3\nu} + \partial_\mu\theta A_-^\mu A_+^\nu A_{3\nu} \\ &+ 2\lambda A_+^\mu A_-^\nu\partial_\mu\theta\partial_\nu\theta]\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_3 \rightarrow & \mathcal{T}_3 + 2(g_a^2+g_b^2)(2\lambda A_3^\mu \partial_\mu\theta + \lambda^2\partial^\mu\theta\partial_\mu\theta)A_{+\nu}A_-^\nu \\ & - 4g_ag_b[\lambda(A_+^\mu\partial_\mu\theta A_-^\nu A_{3\nu} + A_-^\mu\partial_\mu\theta A_+^\nu A_{3\nu}) \\ & + \lambda^2 A_+^\mu A_-^\nu \partial_\mu\theta\partial_\nu\theta]\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

After adding Eq. (23),Eq. (24) and Eq. (25) one can find that under local gauge transformation $(\mathcal{F}_j)_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu\nu}$ transforms as

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mathcal{F}_j)_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_j)_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ [2(g_a^2+g_b^2)\lambda^2 + 4(g_a+g_b)\lambda q + 4q^2]A_{+\mu}A_-^\mu\partial_\nu\theta\partial^\nu\theta \\ &- [4g_ag_b\lambda^2 + 4(g_a+g_b)\lambda q + 4q^2]A_{+\mu}A_-^\nu\partial_\nu\theta\partial^\mu\theta \\ &+ [4q(g_a+g_b) + 4\lambda(g_a^2+g_b^2)]A_+^\nu A_{-\nu}A_3^\mu\partial_\mu\theta \\ &- [2q(g_a+g_b) + 4g_ag_b\lambda][A_+^\mu\partial_\mu\theta A_-^\nu A_{3\nu} + A_-^\mu\partial_\mu\theta A_+^\nu A_{3\nu}] \\ &+ i[2\lambda(g_a+g_b)+4q][\partial_\mu\theta\{(\partial^\mu A_-^\nu)A_{+\nu} - (\partial^\mu A_+^\nu)A_{-\nu}\}] \\ &- i[2\lambda(g_a+g_b)+4q][\partial_\nu\theta\{(\partial_\mu A_-^\nu)A_+^\mu - (\partial_\mu A_+^\nu)A_-^\mu\}]\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

In order to make $(\mathcal{F}_j)_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu\nu}$ as well as Lagrangian invariant under the gauge transformation, the coefficients of all extra terms in the above expression, Eq. (26), must go to zero. Then we must have

$$g_a = g_b \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = -\frac{q}{g_b} \tag{27}$$

Now, there exists some kind of symmetry among A_1^μ, A_2^μ and A_3^μ. Instead of starting with A_1^μ and A_2^μ, we could have started with A_2^μ and A_3^μ and made the complex fields as linear combinations of them. Then, of course, we would have got A_1^μ as the extra gauge boson, required to make the Lagrangian gauge invariant. In that case, everything would go in the same way and we would end up with

$$g_c = g_b \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = -\frac{q}{g_b} \tag{28}$$

Combining Eq. (27), Eq. (28), Eq. (18) and Eq. (19) , we infer that

$$g_a = g_b = g_c = g, \quad \lambda = -\frac{q}{g} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{jkl} = -g\epsilon_{jkl} \tag{29}$$

Thus we have arrived at same $\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu\nu}$ as it should be in case of $SU(2)$ gauge symmetry where $A_\pm^\mu, A_3^\mu$ act as $W_\pm^\mu, W_3^\mu$ with g as weak coupling constant. At this point one can ask why A_3^μ is identified as W_3^μ, not as photon. We know from QED that under $U(1)_Q$ gauge transformation photon changes as $A^\mu \rightarrow A^\mu - \partial^\mu\theta$ when $\psi \rightarrow \psi e^{iq_f\theta}$[5–7]. So looking at the value of λ in Eq. (27) one can infer that A_3^μ is not photon.

Now let us look at the interactions of these gauge bosons with fermions. Let, there is a fermionic field ψ with mass m. The corresponding free field Lagrangian is

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{fermion} = \overline{\psi}(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m)\psi \tag{30}$$

From QED , we know that its interaction with gauge boson A_μ is governed by the term $q_f\overline{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi A_\mu$ in the Lagrangian where q_f is charge of the fermion. Taking the analogy from QED, one can take the interaction Lagrangian for trial as

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = -[g_f\overline{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi A_+^\mu + (g_f)^*\overline{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi A_-^\mu + \widetilde{g}_f\overline{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi A_3^\mu] \tag{31}$$

where g_f and $\widetilde{g}_f$ are couplings for the fermion ψ with A_+^μ and A_3^μ. As Lagrangian is hermitian, the fermion must couple with A_-^μ by $(g_f)^*$, complex conjugate of g_f and $\widetilde{g}_f$ must be real.

Now, according to our convention, under $U(1)_Q$ gauge transformation ψ changes as $\psi \rightarrow \psi e^{iq_f\theta}$. So, $\mathcal{L}_{int}$ will transform as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{int} \rightarrow & -[g_f\overline{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi A_+^\mu e^{iq\theta} + (g_f)^*\overline{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi A_-^\mu e^{-iq\theta}] \\ & - \widetilde{g}_f\overline{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi A_3^\mu\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Clearly, this trial-Lagrangian in Eq. (31) is not invariant under global gauge transformation. So Eq. (31) does not give the correct form of interaction between fermions and $A_\pm^\mu$. Actually, it is impossible to make $\mathcal{L}_{int}$ invariant with only one fermionic field as $\overline{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi$ remains invariant. But $\overline{\psi}_2\gamma_\mu\psi_1$ can transform under $U(1)_Q$ gauge transformation if ψ_1 and ψ_2 have different electric charges. So, we need at least two fermionic fields to describe the interaction of $A_\pm^\mu$ with fermions. But in case of interaction with A_3^μ, two fermions are not needed necessarily as A_3^μ does not change under global gauge transformation.

Now, we take two fermions as ψ_1 and ψ_2 with charges q_1 and q_2 respectively and take the interaction Lagrangian as

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{int} = & -[g_{21}\overline{\psi}_2\gamma_\mu\psi_1 A_+^\mu + (g_{21})^*\overline{\psi}_1\gamma_\mu\psi_2 A_-^\mu] \\ & - [\widetilde{g}_1\overline{\psi}_1\gamma_\mu\psi_1 A_3^\mu + \widetilde{g}_2\overline{\psi}_2\gamma_\mu\psi_2 A_3^\mu]\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{int}$ will transform under global gauge transformation as

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{int} \rightarrow & -g_{21}\overline{\psi}_2\gamma_\mu\psi_1 A_+^\mu e^{i(q+q_1-q_2)\theta} \\ & - (g_{21})^*\overline{\psi}_1\gamma_\mu\psi_2 A_-^\mu e^{-i(q+q_1-q_2)\theta} \\ & - [\widetilde{g}_1\overline{\psi}_1\gamma_\mu\psi_1 A_3^\mu + \widetilde{g}_2\overline{\psi}_2\gamma_\mu\psi_2 A_3^\mu]\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Then, the condition that must hold to respect the global symmetry is

$$q + q_1 - q_2 = 0 \tag{35}$$

This Eq. (35) signifies electric charge conservation at fermion-$A_\pm^\mu$ interaction vertex. In SM (Standard Model), there are total 24 fermions including particles and anti-particles both. Eq. (35) indicates which two of them will interact with each other through $A_\pm^\mu$. For example, in SM we write the charged current interactions (not considering left handedness of weak interaction) between e^- and

ν_e as $\overline{u}(\nu_e)\gamma_\mu u(e^-)W^\mu_+$ and $\overline{u}(e^-)\gamma_\mu u(\nu_e)W^\mu_-$ [5, 8]and see that the condition in Eq. (35) holds.

At low energy scale, charged weak interaction is observed to be left handed only. It can be incorporated in theory assuming that A^μ_1 and A^μ_2 interact with left handed fermions and right handed fermions differently. It is evident from the structure of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{int}$, given by Eq. (33), that one right handed fermion cannot interact with left handed one through $A^\mu_\pm$ as $\psi_L = \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2}\psi$ and $\psi_R = \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}\psi$. Taking the couplings of all right handed fermions with the weak gauge bosons to be zero we can match our theory with observation. Another method of explaining it is to take different vertex factor[8] for charged weak interaction. In QED, vertex factor for any Feynman diagram is taken to be proportional to γ_μ. In case of weak interaction if vertex factor is chosen to be proportional to $\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)$ then, only left handed fermions will couple to weak gauge bosons.

It is always possible to write the couplings in terms of weak coupling constant g. Then, charged weak interaction can be written as,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{charged} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}[V_{jk}(\overline{\psi}_j)_L\gamma_\mu(\psi_k)_L A^\mu_+ \\ + (V_{jk})^*(\overline{\psi}_k)_L\gamma_\mu(\psi_j)_L A^\mu_-]\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where j,k denote two different flavours of fermions which must satisfy the charge conservation relation $q+q_k = q_j$ as shown in Eq. (35). As in SM $W^\mu_\pm$ have unit charge so charged weak interaction only happens between up type quark and down type quark and similarly for leptons and the anti- particles. Interaction between quarks and leptons or particle and anti-particle through $W^\mu_\pm$ is impossible. But, the interacting fermions need not to be of same generation. Thus mixing of different generations comes automatically. Though there exists an ambiguity in lepton sector as neutrino and anti-neutrino both are neutral in charge, but this can be solved either by demanding total lepton number conservation or by assuming neutrinos to be Majorana particle.

V_{jk} in the above Eq. (36) gives the strength of interaction for two different fermions with A^μ_+ in terms of g. At this point there is no restriction on V_{jk}. Now, one can make a column matrix Ψ with only down type quarks or neutrinos (according to SM convention) and define Ψ' as $\Psi' = V\Psi$ where V is a matrix made with V_{jk}. The kinetic term for the down type fermions (or neutrinos) can be written as $\overline{\Psi}(i\partial\!\!\!/)\Psi$. If it is demanded that the kinetic term can be written as $\overline{\Psi}'(i\partial\!\!\!/)\Psi'$ also, then V has to be unitary and V can be identified with CKM matrix of SM [5, 8, 9]. But in general, there is no reason to demand this extra condition in our approach. So we can take V to be completely arbitrary.

As initially A^μ_1, A^μ_2 and A^μ_3 were equivalent, it is legitimate to assume that A^μ_3 also interact with left handed fermions only. Now let us look at the consequences of demanding local gauge invariance. From whole Lagrangian, the portion which is not invariant under local gauge transformation is sorted out as

$$\mathcal{L}' = \overline{\psi}(i\partial\!\!\!/)\psi - g\chi_f\overline{\psi}_L\gamma_\mu\psi_L A^\mu_3 \tag{37}$$

where χ_f is the coupling of ψ with A^μ_3 in terms of g. Under the local gauge transformation, given by Eq. (3) and Eq. (7), $\mathcal{L}'$ changes as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}' \to \mathcal{L}' - q_f\overline{\psi}_L\gamma_\mu\psi_L\partial^\mu\theta - q_f\overline{\psi}_R\gamma_\mu\psi_R\partial^\mu\theta \\ - \lambda g\chi_f\overline{\psi}_L\gamma_\mu\psi_L\partial^\mu\theta\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

From the above Eq. (38) it is evident that we need one more gauge boson (B_μ) to cancel the extra piece in the transformed Lagrangian for ψ_R. We know from QED that photon also cancels exactly this extra term. Then B_μ would act as a photon for right handed fermions. But it will not act as photon for left handed fermions as λ and χ_f have some non zero value in Eq. (38). Now the question arises whether B_μ will couple to ψ_L at all. The answer is yes, otherwise it would be impossible to describe QED in this scenario as photon couples to ψ_R and ψ_L equally. One important point to note that B_μ should not couple to $A^\mu_\pm$ or A^μ_3, otherwise the gauge invariance achieved in $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{gauge}$ will be gone and we have to start from scratch again. Taking into account these considerations, we add two interaction terms in $\mathcal{L}'$ as $\widetilde{t}_f\overline{\psi}_R\gamma_\mu\psi_R B^\mu$ and $t_f\overline{\psi}_L\gamma_\mu\psi_L B^\mu$ and a kinetic term in $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{gauge}$ like $-\frac{1}{4}(\partial^\mu B^\nu - \partial^\nu B^\mu)(\partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu)$.

As local gauge symmetry is restored in ψ_R after introduction of B_μ, we leave the right handed part and shift our focus to left handed part.

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'_{left} = \overline{\psi_L}(i\partial\!\!\!/)\psi_L - g\chi_f\overline{\psi}_L\gamma_\mu\psi_L A^\mu_3 - t_f\overline{\psi}_L\gamma_\mu\psi_L B^\mu \tag{39}$$

Here t_f is the coupling of ψ_L with B^μ. If we assume that B^μ, interacting with ψ_L, changes as $B_\mu \to B_\mu + \lambda_b\partial_\mu\theta$ under the gauge transformation, then demanding local gauge invariance of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'$ will lead to

$$q_f + g\lambda\chi_f + \lambda_b t_f = 0 \tag{40}$$

Now, it is always possible to write λ_b and t_f as

$$\lambda_b = -\frac{q}{g'} \quad \text{and} \quad t_f = g'\xi_f \tag{41}$$

where g' is some constant and ξ_f is some parameter which depends on flavour and chirality both of a fermion. Then combining the above Eq. (40) with Eq. (29) and Eq. (41) one would get

$$q_f = q(\chi_f + \xi_f) \tag{42}$$

In case of SM, one can identify χ_f with the value of T_3 (third component of weak isospin), ξ_f with $\frac{Y}{2}$ (half of weak hypercharge) and q with unit charge e. Then the

above Eq. (42) indicates to Gell-Mann-Nishijima formula [5, 8, 10].

Now, one can mix A_3^μ and B^μ through Wienberg angle (θ_W) to get photon (A^μ) and Z-boson (Z^μ) [2, 3, 5, 8]as

$$Z^\mu = A_3^\mu \cos\theta_W - B^\mu \sin\theta_W \tag{43}$$
$$A^\mu = A_3^\mu \sin\theta_W + B^\mu \cos\theta_W \tag{44}$$

Solving for A_3^μ and B^μ from Eq. (43) and Eq. (44) one can write the interaction part of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'_{left}$, in Eq. (39) in terms of A^μ and Z^μ as

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{nuet,L} = &-[(g\chi_f \sin\theta_W + g'\xi_f \cos\theta_W)A^\mu \\ &+ (g\chi_f \cos\theta_W - g'\xi_f \sin\theta_W)Z^\mu]\overline{\psi}_L\gamma_\mu\psi_L\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Identifying the coupling of photon in the above Eq. (45) to be q_f, one have

$$q_f = g\chi_f \sin\theta_W + g'\xi_f \cos\theta_W \tag{46}$$

As all particles satisfy Eq. (42) and Eq. (46) both, then one must have

$$g = \frac{q}{\sin\theta_W} \quad \text{and} \quad g' = \frac{q}{\cos\theta_W} \tag{47}$$

Using Eq. (45) and Eq. (47) one can write the coupling of left handed fermion with Z boson to be $g_z[\chi_f - (q_f/q)\sin^2\theta_W]$ where $g_z = q/(\sin\theta_W \cos\theta_W)$. One can use this coupling of Z boson with right handed fermion also keeping in mind that $\chi_f = 0$ for ψ_R. These results are already known [5, 7, 8].

Now, if we assume that A^μ and Z^μ transform as $Z_\mu \to Z_\mu + \lambda_z\partial_\mu\theta$ and $A_\mu \to A_\mu + \lambda_a\partial_\mu\theta$, then using Eq. (43),Eq. (44), Eq. (29),Eq. (41) and Eq. (47) it can be easily shown that

$$\lambda_a = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_z = 0 \tag{48}$$

The above conditions in Eq. (48) hold for right handed case also as photon couples to ψ_L and ψ_R equally. Thus we get the known result of QED for λ_a being -1 and this value of λ_a can be used as a signature of photon.

The mass terms for gauge bosons can be introduced as

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{1}{2}[m_{ph}^2 A^2 + m_1^2 A_1^2 + m_2^2 A_2^2 + m_Z^2 Z^2] \tag{49}$$

where m_{ph}, m_1, m_2 and m_z are the masses of photon,A_1, A_2 and Z bosons respectively. If we rewrite this Lagrangian in terms of A_+^μ and A_-^μ and demand global gauge invariance of $\mathcal{L}_{mass}$ then we must have $m_1 = m_2 = m_W(say)$. Moreover, in order to make $\mathcal{L}_{mass}$ local gauge invariant we need $m_{ph} = 0$ as under local gauge transformation $A^\mu \to A^\mu - \partial^\mu\theta$. But Z boson might be massive as $\lambda_z = 0$, Eq. (48). With these constrains mass terms for gauge boson can be written in Lagrangian as,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{mass} = \frac{1}{2}m_Z^2 Z^2 + m_W^2 A_+^\mu A_{-\mu} \tag{50}$$

Now let us see whether there is any scope for FCNC(flavour changing neutral current) at tree level. Let us write down the neutral current interaction Lagrangian along with the kinetic term and two extra FCNC terms as

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}' = &\overline{\psi}_{j,\tau}(i\partial\!\!\!/)\psi_{j,\tau} - \overline{\psi}_{j,\tau}\gamma_\mu\psi_{j,\tau}(q_j A^\mu + g_z C_{j,\tau} Z^\mu) \\ &- \overline{\psi}_{j,\tau}\gamma_\mu\psi_{k,\tau}(h^a_{j,k,\tau}A^\mu + h^z_{j,k,\tau}Z^\mu) - h.c.\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

where $\tau(= L, R)$, h.c. stands for hermitian conjugate.ψ_j and ψ_k are two fermions with different flavour but same charge; $h^a_{j,k}$ and $h^z_{j,k}$ are their couplings with A^μ and Z^μ. The coefficient $C_{j,\tau}$ is coming because interaction of a fermion with Z can be written as $C_L\overline{\psi}_L\gamma_\mu\psi_L Z^\mu + C_R\overline{\psi}_R\gamma_\mu\psi_R Z^\mu$ and g_z is the coupling constant for that interaction.

Using Eq. (48) and demanding local gauge invariance of this Lagrangian, one can show $h^a_{j,k,\tau} = 0$. But, it is impossible to infer anything about $h^z_{j,k,\tau}$ as $\lambda_z = 0$. So, left handed or right handed FCNC at tree level might exist, but it must be mediated by Z-boson only.

In conclusion, we have shown that a generalized $U(1)_Q$ gauge symmetry can produce electroweak interactions (as in SM) without using any $SU(2)$ gauge invariance. Interactions among gauge bosons, charged current interactions, neutral current interactions everything comes naturally in correct form (like SM) once generalized $U(1)_Q$ gauge symmetry is demanded. In addition, scopes for non-unitary CKM matrix and FCNC at tree level are also discussed. In future, if some other electroweak gauge boson or fourth generation quark or lepton is experimentally discovered, that might put SM in trouble due to $SU(2)$ nature of weak interaction and unitarity of CKM matrix, but they can be handled in our theory without assuming any new model.

I thank Rahul Sinha and G. Rajasekaran for their valuable suggestions.

* kanirban@imsc.res.in

[1] C.N. Yang, R.L. Mills, Phys. Rev. 96 (1954) 191.
[2] S.L. Glashow, Nucl. Phys., 22 (1961), p. 579.
[3] A. Salam, J.C. Ward, Phys. Lett. 13 (1964) 168
[4] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Letters 19 (1967), 1264
[5] *Collider Physics* by Barger and Phillips
[6] *An introduction to quantum field theory* by Peskin and Schroeder
[7] *Gauge theory of elementary particle physics* by Cheng and Li.
[8] *Introduction to elementary particles* by D. Griffiths
[9] M. Kobayashi, T. Maskawa, Progr. Theor. Phys., 49 (1973), p. 652
[10] T. Nakano, K. Nishijima, Prog. Theor. Phys. Vol. 10 (1953) 581.